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Abstract. Purpose: to analyze dynamic of motor skills’ formation in girl students, who practice aerobic by experimental program. Material: in the research 40 girl students participated. Motor skills level was tested with the help of state and additional tests. Results: it was found that for training quickness it is necessary to use rope skipping in mode, corresponding to development of this quality. For training maximal strength it is purposeful to use more complex power exercises in ground part of the complex. Conclusions: implementation of rope skipping means in dance aerobic trainings increases training influence on practically all motor skills. Rope skipping permits to doze and regulate training load. The same under musical accompaniment develop sense of rhythm. In some modes such jumps facilitate training of speed power qualities and power endurance.
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Introduction
Training and perfection of students’ motor skills in HEE is one of important and necessary component of discipline “Physical education”. But recent years there have been observed weakening of students’ motor skills, especially in girls [19, 21, 22].

Health related aerobic is a part of girl students’ physical education practically in all HEEs. Interest to aerobics is conditioned by its high effectiveness, accessibility, bright emotional character and attractiveness [3, 20, 23].

Modern specialists worked out different approaches to development of motor skills at health related aerobic trainings. Methodic of motor skills’ training on the base of graphic analytic models and bio-mechanical motor skills’ indicators has been implemented [13]. Module technology of motor coordination training has also been implemented [16], and it permits to individualize training process on the base of girl students’ potentials. Effectiveness of rhythm qualities simulation of girl students, who train health related aerobic has been experimentally substantiated [4].

Application of attractive kinds of training, considering girl students’ individual features, is of the same importance for physical qualities’ training [6–8, 10]. Specialists recommend not to limited to only one kind of aerobic. It is possible to vary them periodically [5]. Our attention was attracted by rope skipping aerobic. Specialists say that rope skipping is one of the best trainings by covering of muscular system and by its variety [9, 17]. The first rope skipping program was worked out by K. Cooper [5]. N.M. Amosov and I.V. Muravov think that it can not ensure versatile training of youth [1]. Analysis of scientific-methodic literature witnesses about absence of reliable aerobic rope skipping methodic for training of HEE girl students’ motor skills. In this aspect the urgency of the topic of our research is rather evident.

Purpose, tasks of the work, material and methods
The purpose of the article is to analyze dynamic of motor skills’ formation in girl students, who practice aerobic by experimental program and prove effectiveness of this program.

The methods and organization of the research: the research was conducted on the base of East-Ukrainian National university, named after Vladimir Dal. In experiment 40 girl students, who practice health related aerobic, participated. They were divided into two groups: experimental (EG) – 20 girls and control (CG) – 20 girls. CG girl students were trained by traditional program; EG girl students – by experimental methodic.

Level of motor skills was tested with state tests, envisaged by academic program for discipline “Physical education in HEE” and with additional tests.

Results of the researches
For training of girl students’ motor skills at aerobic classes we offered experimental methodic. In dance aerobic program we introduced rope skipping. Such approach stipulates strengthening of aerobic influence on girl students’ motor skills. For the period of experiment in both groups we registered confident improvement of results by all tested indicators (see table 1).

At the end of experiment we registered confident improvement of test results “2000meters’ run” in EG – 11.03 ± 0.62 min (p <0.001). It was 8.24% of increment in comparison with initial indicators. In CG we did not register any significant changes – 11.77 ± 0.52 min (p <0.001), increment was 1.92%. Analysis of test “Long jump from the spot” showed significant difference in final CG indicators (1.69 ± 0.07 m) and in EG (1,77 ± 0.11 m) at level p <0.05. Increment of CG results was 0.01 m (p <0.001), and in EG – 0.11 m (p <0.001). Increment of results in test “High jump from the spot” was in EG – 12.74% and in CG there were no significant changes (2.48%). Final result was, accordingly, the following: 38.05 ± 2.11 cm (p <0.001) and 35.10 ± 2.20 cm (p <0.001).

As we can see, in test “Torso risings in sitting position from lying position during 1 minute) confident increment of results was in both groups (p <0.001). But in EG rate of increment was higher – 21.61%. It was very good result. In CG increment rate was only 4.31%. In test “100 meters’ run” there were significant differences (p <0.001) in both groups (EG – 17.09 ± 0.59 (2.57%), CG – 17.30 ± 0.67 (1.31%). Differences between final values between two groups were not significant (p> 0.05). In test “Shuttle run 4x9 m” we registered significant increment of indicators in EG – 6%. At the end of experiment result was 10.81 ± 0.35 sec. (p <0.001). Control group indicators nearly did not change: 0.26%, 11.40 ±
0.48 sec. (p < 0.001). Increment of test “Pressing ups from the floor” did not have significant differences (p > 0.05) between EG (18.20 ± 7.06) and CG (15.55 ± 7.07). In test “Forward torso bending in sitting position” we registered confident changes in EG – 18.50 ± 3.79 cm, CG – 15.60 ± 4.65 cm (p < 0.05). Increment rates were accordingly: EG – 31.21%, CG – 12.23%.

To receive more information about effectiveness of experimental methodic we used additional tests (see table 2). Changes in test “Rope skipping during 30 sec.” were confident in every group and between groups (p < 0.001). At the end of experiment in EG (73.35 ± 3.56) there was much better result than CG 59.45 ± 6.92). Percentage of increment rates was in EG – 34.71% and in – 7.6%. Analysis of “Romberg’s test” showed that EG girl students’ balance indicators increased up to 13.35 ± 3.07 (p < 0.001). In CG they increased only to 6.45 ± 2.54 (p < 0.001). The registered differences were statistically confident at level p < 0.001.

Test “Integral coordination indicators” (ICI) [2] showed significant difference between results of both groups at level p < 0.001. Increment rate of ICI in EG was 84.32%. It witnesses about high level of jump coordination (0.72 ± 0.09, p < 0.001). CG girl students improved their results by 15% (0.46 ± 0.07, p < 0.001). We observed confident positive changes in rhythm of basic steps [7] (p < 0.001). In EG improvement of results was by 35.27% (14.00 ± 0.92 points), in CG – by 19.25% (12.7 ± 1.08 points). Differences between groups also were of significance p < 0.001. Increment rates of jump rhythm in EG were 40.3% (4.70 ± 0.47 points), and in CG – 20% (4.20 ± 0.52 points) (p < 0.001). The detected differences between EG and CG girl students in tapping test were statistically different at level p < 0.01 (right hand) and p < 0.05 (left hand). For example in EG increment rates were: right hand – 8.45% (214.25 ± 11.03 quantity of points), left hand – 5.88% (198.00 ± 10.73 quantity of points). In CG they were accordingly 3.04% (203.157 ± 7.08 quantity of points) and 2.36% (191.00 ± 6.27 quantity of points).

Discussion
The conducted by us research expands the data about development of HEE girl students’ motor skills. The research confirms the conclusions of specialists that aerobics permit to vary methodic depending on its purpose [15]. Application of various aerobic programs permits to obtain maximal use for minimal time [5].

In process of our work on the set problem we worked out and experimentally tested application of rope skipping in dance aerobic.

Analysis of scientific methodic literature witnesses that rope skipping permits to doze and regulate training load. As it is noted by scientists rope skipping is a men of training of general endurance [18]. Rope skipping under music accompaniment develops rhythm sense [14]. In certain modes of load they facilitate training of speed power and power endurance [11, 12].

Results of our research confirm it.

Conclusions
Thus, the received data witness about effectiveness of rope skipping implementation in dance aerobic trainings. Such approach increases training influence on practically all motor skills. For training quickness (in our opinion) it is necessary to use specialized exercises from light athletic or use rope skipping in mode, corresponding to development of this quality. We think to be purposeful to use more complex power exercises in ground part of the complex for development of maximal strength.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Period of testing</th>
<th>Statistic indicators</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>σ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>σ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>100 m run, sec.</td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>17,53</td>
<td>17,54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>17,30</td>
<td>17,09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>7,452</td>
<td>0,77</td>
<td>14,673</td>
<td>0,63</td>
<td>-0,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,45</td>
<td>0,59</td>
<td>1,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment of results</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>2,57</td>
<td></td>
<td>p1&gt;0,05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>12,00</td>
<td>12,02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>11,77</td>
<td>11,03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>6,920</td>
<td>0,51</td>
<td>66,359</td>
<td>0,65</td>
<td>-0,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,99</td>
<td>0,62</td>
<td>4,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment of results</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>8,24</td>
<td></td>
<td>p2&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>13,9</td>
<td>14,1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>-4,421</td>
<td>5,54</td>
<td>-11,000</td>
<td>4,15</td>
<td>-0,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>-4,4</td>
<td>3,79</td>
<td>-2,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment of results</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>31,21</td>
<td></td>
<td>p2&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>39,45</td>
<td>37,25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>41,15</td>
<td>45,30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>-5,840</td>
<td>2,21</td>
<td>-24,522</td>
<td>3,24</td>
<td>2,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>-8,05</td>
<td>3,26</td>
<td>-5,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment of results</td>
<td>4,31</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>21,61</td>
<td></td>
<td>p2&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>14,05</td>
<td>13,20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>15,55</td>
<td>18,20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>-4,943</td>
<td>8,14</td>
<td>-10,390</td>
<td>8,72</td>
<td>0,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>-10,390</td>
<td>8,72</td>
<td>0,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment of results</td>
<td>7,07</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>-1,186</td>
<td></td>
<td>p2&gt;0,05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>10,68</td>
<td>37,88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>37,88</td>
<td>37,88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Shuttle run 4x9 m, sec.</td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>end</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>increment of results</td>
<td>increment, %</td>
<td>прирост, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,43</td>
<td>11,40</td>
<td>1,045</td>
<td>0,50</td>
<td>20,673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p3&gt;0,05</td>
<td>0,48</td>
<td>p4&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. Long jump from the spot, m</th>
<th>beginning</th>
<th>end</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>increment of results</th>
<th>increment, %</th>
<th>прирост, %</th>
<th>beginning</th>
<th>end</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>increment of results</th>
<th>increment, %</th>
<th>прирост, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4,239</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>-27,341</td>
<td>0,13</td>
<td>0,651</td>
<td>p1&gt;0,05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p3&lt;0,001</td>
<td>0,07</td>
<td>p4&lt;0,001</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>-2,451</td>
<td>p1&lt;0,05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. High jump from the spot, cm</th>
<th>beginning</th>
<th>end</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>increment of results</th>
<th>increment, %</th>
<th>прирост, %</th>
<th>beginning</th>
<th>end</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>increment of results</th>
<th>increment, %</th>
<th>прирост, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5,101</td>
<td>2,55</td>
<td>-15,253</td>
<td>2,15</td>
<td>0,670</td>
<td>p1&gt;0,05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p3&lt;0,001</td>
<td>2,20</td>
<td>p4&lt;0,001</td>
<td>2,11</td>
<td>-4,325</td>
<td>p2&lt;0,001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:  
- p1 – confidence of differences of initial values  
- p2 - confidence of differences of final values  
- p3 – confidence of EG indicators’ increment  
- p4 - confidence of CG indicators’ increment
### Table 2. Dynamic of CG and EG girl students’ motor skills progress by additional tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Period of testing</th>
<th>Control indicators</th>
<th>Experimental indicators</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=20</td>
<td>n=20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>σ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>σ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Rope skipping, times</td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>55,25</td>
<td>54,45</td>
<td>-4,526</td>
<td>P3&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end t</td>
<td>59,45</td>
<td>73,35</td>
<td>-26,465</td>
<td>P4&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p increment of results</td>
<td>-4.2</td>
<td>-18.9</td>
<td>-140.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment, %</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>34.71</td>
<td>-7,992</td>
<td>P2&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,22</td>
<td>5,34</td>
<td>0,365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,92</td>
<td>3,56</td>
<td>-7,746</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Romberg’s test, sec.</td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>5,30</td>
<td>5,55</td>
<td>-0,150</td>
<td>P3&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end t</td>
<td>6,45</td>
<td>13,35</td>
<td>-25,6</td>
<td>P4&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p increment of results</td>
<td>-1,15</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>140.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment, %</td>
<td>2,60</td>
<td>1,99</td>
<td>-0,342</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,54</td>
<td>3,07</td>
<td>-7,416</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Integral coordination indicator, m/sec.</td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>0,40</td>
<td>0,39</td>
<td>-0,070</td>
<td>P3&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end t</td>
<td>0,46</td>
<td>0,72</td>
<td>-14,308</td>
<td>P4&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p increment of results</td>
<td>-7,973</td>
<td>-0,33</td>
<td>84.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment, %</td>
<td>0,06</td>
<td>0,09</td>
<td>0,219</td>
<td>P2&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,07</td>
<td>0,09</td>
<td>-10,421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Rhythm of basic steps, points</td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>10,65</td>
<td>10,35</td>
<td>-7,183</td>
<td>P3&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end t</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>14,00</td>
<td>-17,490</td>
<td>P4&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p increment of results</td>
<td>-7,183</td>
<td>-3,65</td>
<td>35.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment, %</td>
<td>1,18</td>
<td>1,35</td>
<td>0,748</td>
<td>P2&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,08</td>
<td>0,92</td>
<td>-4,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Rhythm of jumps, points</td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>3,50</td>
<td>3,35</td>
<td>-6,658</td>
<td>P3&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end t</td>
<td>4,20</td>
<td>4,70</td>
<td>-12,337</td>
<td>P4&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p increment of results</td>
<td>-6,658</td>
<td>-1,35</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increment, %</td>
<td>0,51</td>
<td>0,49</td>
<td>0,946</td>
<td>P2&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,52</td>
<td>0,47</td>
<td>-3,179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tapping test – right hand, quantity of points</td>
<td>beginning</td>
<td>end</td>
<td>increment of results</td>
<td>increment, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td>197,15</td>
<td>203,15</td>
<td>-14,184</td>
<td>-14,184</td>
<td>P3&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Tapping test – left hand, quantity of points</td>
<td>186,60</td>
<td>191,00</td>
<td>-14,184</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>P3&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: P1 – confidence of differences of initial values  
P2 - confidence of differences of final values  
P3 – confidence of EG indicators’ increment  
P4 - confidence of CG indicators’ increment
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