Training structure of powerlifters with regard to biological rhythms and operational functional condition
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Abstract

Purpose: to develop and experimentally confirm the structure of training cycles, training load and sports results with regard to the biological rhythms and functional condition of powerlifters.

Material: the study involved qualified powerlifters (n = 40). The diagnostic procedure was performed on the Omega hardware complex. Ostberg questionnaire was applied to determine the athlete's chronotype. According to the results of the questionnaire, athletes were divided into morning, evening and mixed chronotypes. In the experimental group (N1, n = 20), intensive training was conducted at the peak of the biological rhythm. Medium and low-intensity training was performed in the process of reducing the biorhythms activity. Standard training was performed in the control group (N2, n = 20), without regard to chronotypes.

Results: it was determined that the application of non-standard means of athletes training at the peak of the biological rhythms activity and in the rising phase of the biogram significantly influences on the increase in maximum strength results. Non-standard means include Crossfit training and a reverse pyramid (the maximum weight is applied at the beginning of the training, in the subsequent approaches the weight reduces, the number of repetitions increases). It is determined the significant differences between groups in the control exercises: hanging pull-up/chin up, parallel bar dips, hanging on the crossbar.

Conclusions: the training of qualified powerlifters should be based on their chronotype. The main mean of the training process optimizing of athletes should be a biogram, reflecting the physical, emotional and intellectual biological rhythm.
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Introduction

The dynamic development of powerlifting draws the attention of scientists to the development of the qualified athletes training content and the methodological bases of training cycles structure [1, 2]. In recent years, there is a sufficient number of scientific works devoted to powerlifters training at the primary level. It is determined the optimal combinations of means, methods, and parameters of power and speed-power training load. It was revealed the effect of power training on the athletes’ health [3, 4]. The researchers confirm that athletes’ training should be based on individual training programs. This allows you to increase the level of their special power fitness and effectiveness of performances in competitions [5, 6].

The effective variants of training load structure were revealed in works of Kholopov and Rybalsky. Load in the weekly and monthly cycles allow optimizing the athletes’ training [7, 8]. It was developed and put into practice the methodical recommendations of pre-competitive weight regulation by powerlifters. Recommendations are based on identifying the optimal was of weight reduction and its effect on athletic performance [9]. Recovery processes in power triathlon are revealed in the studies of Khitrov, Stetsenko, Artyushenko [10, 11]. The research by Dalsky confirmed the effective application of the powerlifter’ functional index. The operational control and training load correcting are made on the index base [12]. However, these works do not consider the issue of training structure based on a biogram. There are not enough scientific works in powerlifting based on the daily, weekly, monthly activity of biological rhythms. Not enough hardware methods to control the functional status of athletes [13]. Increasing requirements for the implementation of maximum strength abilities, the level of reliability of training of athletes led to the search for new ways of sports training [14]. Depending on the athlete’s biological rhythm, the voltage level of the body’s systems is changing. The voltage of functional systems is essential for achieving high results of powerlifters [15, 16]. Differences between the maximum and minimum sports results during the day are 10–25%. Therefore, training sessions in the morning and evening hours are justified, depending on the biological rhythm. Such an approach can be a reserve for improving the athletic performance of qualified athletes in the annual training cycle. Shaposhnikova, Taymazov found that 52.3% of sports injuries were received on critical days of biorhythms. And in the positive phase of biorhythms, athletes achieve better results than in the negative phase [17, 18]. Therefore, studies on the management of the training process based on the activity of biological rhythms, planning training time depending on the chronotype are relevant.

The purpose of the study: to develop and experimentally justify the construction of training cycles, training load, and athletic performance, taking into account the biological rhythms and functional state of qualified powerlifters.
Material and methods

The participants. The experimental group (N1, n = 20) consisted of powerlifters 18-25 years old with the following qualifications: 7 – candidates for master of sports, 4 – masters of sports, 9 – I category; the control group had almost identical qualifications (N2, n = 20).

Design of the study. The experiment was conducted on the basis of the Tchaikovsky State Institute of Physical Culture (Tchaikovsky, Russia). The N1 group trained 4 times per week, 2.5 hours long. Group N2 trained 3 times per week: 2 times per week 3 hours long and 1 time 2 hours long.

Improving the training process of qualified powerlifters was to distribute them according to the biological rhythm. To solve this problem, athletes have passed diagnostics on the Omega hardware complex (1st stage). It was detected hours of biological activity during the day, week, month. The control included indicators of the level of adaptive abilities, psycho-emotional state, fitness level, energy supply, the tension of regulatory systems. The express technique “Omega” characterized the integral indicator of the functional state and sports form of each of the athletes. The technique has defined the “zones” of the functional state: “Red” - overstrain, overwork, the significant decrease in the functional state. The “green” zone is an optimal functional state, a high level of adaptation and fitness. The “yellow” zone is a borderline state, a decrease in reserves of the functional state, a violation of adaptive capabilities. The Omega complex took into account: the internal and external components of biological rhythms; adaptation processes after high-intensity training sessions; recovery time.

The next step in determining the chronotype was Ostberg questionnaire (stage 2) [19]. According to the results of the questionnaire, athletes were divided into morning, evening and mixed chronotypes. The questionnaire can be attributed to the subjective and objective means of determining biological rhythms. In conclusion, the questionnaire was applied in the form of interviewing (stage 3). It was conducted to determine the subjective components of the psychophysical condition, adaptation to physical loads, and general condition during and after training. The individual biogram (14-30 days) for every athlete is distributed over periods of 4-8 days. The structure of training effects was chosen depending on the phase of biological rhythms activity (Fig. 1). The recovering training load was applied in minimal biorhythm activity (phase 1, means from -80, -100, to -80 points – the lowest point of the graph). During this period, the recommended physical load was 40-60% of the maximum weight. The support training was performed in the ascending activity (phase 2, from -80, -50, to 50 points – the average ascending part of the graph). The loads 60-75% of the maximum weight are realized in these days. The intensive training was conducted with a maximum load of 75-105% and higher at the peak of biorhythm activity (phase 3, from 50 to 100 and again 50 points – the top point of the graph). The training process included 50-75% of the maximum load in descending activity (phase 4, from 50, 0 points and up to -80 points – the average descending part of the graph). Biogram reflects the physical, emotional, intellectual biological rhythm of the athlete (Fig. 1). The plans of training loads were developed for every phase of biorhythms activity.

Statistical analysis

Statistical data processing was carried out by the method of variation statistics with the calculation of the arithmetic mean value, standard deviation, and verification of the results of the study for the significance of differences at the 5% significance level [20]. Student’s t-criterion was applied in the evaluation of significant differences. Mathematical processing was carried out applying the Excel 2010 tabular processor and the StatPlus2009 program.

**Fig. 1.** Individual biorhythm graph for 30 days (point)
Results

In the experiment we obtained data concerning the types of training load for qualified powerlifters (limiting, supporting, recovering), their combination in the structure of the occupation and training cycles. The experiment included non-standard means of training: Crossfit training and the inverse pyramid (the maximum weight is applied at the beginning of the training, in subsequent approaches the weight reduces, the number of repetitions increases).

The construction of the training process considering the biological rhythms and functional status has significantly improved the athletic performance. In the N1 group, the results are higher compared to the N2 group. The squats were 197.9 kg (group N1) versus 184.7 kg (group N2). The bench press was 147 kg (group N1) versus 129 kg (group N2). The dynamics of the deadlift reached 187 kg (group N1) in comparison with 175 kg (group N2). The sum of three control exercises was 532.2 kg (group N1) and 489.6 kg (group N2) (Table 1). It is proved the effectiveness of training influences on the basis of special physical fitness of athletes in the control exercises: hanging pull-up/chin up, parallel bar dips, hanging on the crossbar.

The effectiveness of experimental training of powerlifters is proved by the optimal functional condition of athletes of the N1 group, in comparison to the N2 group. The total value of the functional condition (“Omega” method) is: 85.7% (group N1), 71.9% (group N2) (maximum 100%). Adaptation to physical loads is: 84.3% (group N1) and 71.4% (group N2). The level of energy supply is 81.9% (group N1) and 71.6% (group N2). The level of energy metabolism is 80.8% (group N1), 71.0% (group N2) (maximum 100%). Adaptation to physical loads is: 84.3% (group N1), 71.9% (group N2) (maximum 100%).

Table 1. Results of the main power indicators and special physical fitness in the experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercises</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>2015 (X ± σ)</th>
<th>2016 (X ± σ)</th>
<th>2017 (X ± σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Barbell Squat (kg)</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>167±19.5</td>
<td>183.5±15.7</td>
<td>197.9±16.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>170.9±10.5</td>
<td>176.7±16.3</td>
<td>184.7±9.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bench Press With Barbell (kg)</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>111.7±10.5</td>
<td>125.3±8.7</td>
<td>147.1±20.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>109.3±9.1</td>
<td>119.5±6.3</td>
<td>129.7±8.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Barbell Deadlift (kg)</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>163.7±14.1</td>
<td>182.5±13.3*</td>
<td>187.2±9.6**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>165.2±12.4</td>
<td>170.6±11.5</td>
<td>175.2±10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The sum of three control exercises</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>443±21.9</td>
<td>491.3±18.6*</td>
<td>532.2±23.7**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kg)</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>445.4±24.9</td>
<td>466.8±12</td>
<td>489.6±20.7**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Handgrip Strength Test (right), kg</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>44.8±5.3</td>
<td>46.6±5.3</td>
<td>46.9±4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>46.3±5.8</td>
<td>46.6±5.2</td>
<td>48.8±5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Handgrip Strength Test (left), kg</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>42.1±5.6</td>
<td>43.6±5.7</td>
<td>44.2±5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>45.7±5.6</td>
<td>45.6±5.5</td>
<td>46.8±5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Pull-Up / Chin Up Test (upper crossbar), quantity of times</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>16.5±4.8</td>
<td>18.9±5.1</td>
<td>27.6±3.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>15.9±4.4</td>
<td>16.3±3.9</td>
<td>20.1±3.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Parallel bar dips (quantity of times)</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>18±5.3</td>
<td>37.8±8.7**</td>
<td>38.3±5.5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>22.7±7.8</td>
<td>24.6±7.8*</td>
<td>25.6±6.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Bent Arm Hang Test (two hands), sec</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>38.5±10.9</td>
<td>45.5±13.4</td>
<td>46.1±12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>38.2±10.7</td>
<td>39.7±10.6</td>
<td>41.1±9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: X – arithmetic mean, σ – standard deviation; EG – experimental group, CG – control group; * – significance of differences (p <0.05), ** – intergroup significance of differences (p <0.05).
The structure of the training means of qualified powerlifters includes specific strength training (74.4% of the time a year); general physical training (18.7%); pedagogical means of recovery (3.7%). The intensity dynamics by the number of barbell lifts is in the range of 70-112% of the individual maximum [21]. In our study, the intensity of the barbell lifts is in the range of 40-105% of the individual maximum. It depends on the phases of biorhythms.

Experimental application of static power stresses in the study allowed an increase in the initial strength indices of the arms (by 35.4%), leg muscles (by 33.4%), back muscles (by 36.7%) [22]. Vorozheikin identified the rank structure of the factors that determine the high level of power fitness of powerlifters. They include: individual strength abilities (19.7%); high level of motivation to achieve a good result in competitions – 17.8%; high degree of concentration (15.5%); good moral-volitional fitness (14.3%); correspondence of the volume and intensity of the load to individual peculiarities (10.7%); accuracy of performance depending on anatomical and morphological features (9.5%); high relative load during the training process (7.2%); the ability to quickly recover in the process of strength training (5.3%) [6].

Analysis of other studies has shown that the emotional rhythm lasts 28 days. It is associated with changes in mood, the reactivity of the body. The intellectual rhythm lasts 33 days. It is associated with mental performance. Many athletes are dominated by weekly and two-week biorhythms. Biorhythms are determined by the indices of the minute respiratory volume, heart rate, temperature and body mass, energy metabolism [23]. Our study showed that considering the biorhythms of athletes it is possible: to develop maximum strength capabilities; expect intense training at the peak of the biological rhythm. The medium and low-intensity load should be applied in the biogram reduction phase. Therefore, the structure of the training load for 14-30 days (biogram) is justified.

The results of our research allow us to evaluate objectively the planning of preparation cycles; evaluate training load and sports results. It is necessary to consider the biological rhythms and operational functional status of athletes (the method of “Omega”). The results of our study are in good agreement with the data of other authors [15, 24]. Training athletes considering the phases of biorhythms (4 phases for 4-7 days) demonstrates a significant increase in athletic indicators. The study allows to characterize the possibilities of practical application of the system of tools and methods for individualizing the training process of athletes.

**Conclusions**

The training of qualified powerlifters should include 4 training per week 2.5 hours long. Training should be conducted: in the morning (8.00-10.00, for the morning type of activity of biological rhythms); in the evening (18.00-20.00, for the evening type of activity of biological rhythms). Training (morning or evening) should be conducted for a mixed type of activity. The main means of athletes training process optimizing should be a biogram for 14 and 30 days. Biogram reflects the physical, emotional, intellectual biological rhythm of the individual. To increase the maximum strength results of athletes, it is recommended to apply non-standard means of training. Non-standard means include Crossfit workouts and a reverse pyramid. These means must be applied at the peak of the activity of biological rhythms and in the ascending phase of the biogram.
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